

# **WOLCOTT TOWN COUNCIL**

Regular Meeting

Tuesday, December 20, 2011

Council Chambers, Wolcott Town Hall

7:30 p.m.

Page 1 of 4

## **MINUTES**

---

**Note:** These are summary minutes; a tape recording of this meeting is on file in Commission Secretary's Office in Wolcott Town Hall.

Chairman Valletta called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance, and attendance was taken.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Chairman David Valletta, Vice-Chairman Gale Lanza Mastrofrancesco, Donald Charette Sr., Francis Masi, James Pape, Roger Picard, Jeffrey Slavin, Rachel Wisler, and Charles Marsella

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** None

**ALSO PRESENT:** Mayor Thomas G. Dunn; Linda Bruce, Municipal Finance Officer; James Frageau, Fire Marshal; Colin Lagasse

### **INTRODUCTION TO FIRE PREVENTION POSTER CONTEST WINNERS**

At this time, Fire Marshal James Frageau came forward and introduced Colin Lagasse, who was the 5<sup>th</sup> grade winner. Mr. Frageau noted that the 4<sup>th</sup> grade winner is sick and is not able to be here this evening and then proceeded to explain how the poster contest works. Colin came forward and was congratulated by the Council; a brief question and answer period followed.

### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

▪ **Regular Meeting – December 6, 2011**

Upon **MOTION** by Gale Mastrofrancesco, seconded by Rachel Wisler, it was unanimously voted to **approve** the minutes of the Regular Meeting held on December 6, 2011, with one abstention from Mr. Marsella.

### **TAXPAYERS' TIME:** (Limited to Items on the Agenda)

No taxpayers came forward.

### **CORRESPONDENCE (on file):**

1. Finance Office Transfers, submitted by Linda Bruce
2. Proposed Resolution: Endorsement of Regional Animal Control Facility Feasibility Study {Letter from Council of Governments & application for Office & Policy Management (OPM) attached}

# WOLCOTT TOWN COUNCIL

Regular Meeting

Tuesday, December 20, 2011

Council Chambers, Wolcott Town Hall

7:30 p.m.

Page 2 of 4

## MINUTES

3. Letter dated December 7<sup>th</sup> from Robert Larson, Chairman of the Farmingbury Hills Golf Commission, regarding modifications to the rate structure of their annual Season Pass offering for 2012
4. Letters dated December 7<sup>th</sup> all Organizations, Boards, & Committees regarding their Town Council liaison appointments
5. Town of Wolcott Expenditure Report, Revenue Report, & Trial Balance, for month ending 11-30-11

### MAYOR'S REPORT:

Mayor Dunn came forward and reported on the following:

- David Kalinowski, ZEO, passed the State CAZEO (CT Association of Zoning Enforcement Officials) and is now certified; the process took about 2 years; certification is not required but is an added benefit for the Town;
- Regarding a streetlight on Diamond Terrace, there probably is a need for one; they need to decide which pole to place it on;
- Regarding the request for a traffic light at the intersection of Rt. 322 and Woodtick Road, he checked with the Police Dept.; there have been 25 accidents over the past 11 years with no casualties, which means the State will probably not install one

### FINANCE OFFICER'S REPORT/TRANSFERS:

Linda Bruce came forward and reported the following:

- The departmental budget worksheets were distributed to department supervisors and commissions on November 16<sup>th</sup> and are due in the Finance Office on Tuesday, December 27<sup>th</sup>; they have received eight budgets back so far;
- Departmental budget meetings with the Mayor and Finance Office personnel will be scheduled in the month of February;
- Finance Office transfers were submitted in packets for approval

Upon **MOTION** by Roger Picard, seconded by Donald Charette, it was unanimously voted to **approve** the transfers for FUND 01, as submitted (**see attached**).

Upon **MOTION** by Gale Mastrofrancesco,, seconded by Rachel Wisler, it was unanimously voted to **approve** the following transfers: FUND 35; from line item

# WOLCOTT TOWN COUNCIL

Regular Meeting

Tuesday, December 20, 2011

Council Chambers, Wolcott Town Hall

7:30 p.m.

Page 3 of 4

## MINUTES

---

#8997 \$2,400.00, to line items #1120 & #1220 \$2,400.00 and FUND 36; from line item #8997 \$450.00, to #1120 \$450.00 (**see attached**).

A brief discussion transpired with respect to the stimulus funds that were given to the Board of Education and how it affected the way revenue appeared in the audited financial statements.

### SUB-COMMITTEE AND LIAISON REPORTS:

**Volunteer Fire Department:** Mr. Marsella reported that Fire Truck Committee should have the specs ready for bid for the new fire truck by the end of January.

**Road Reconstruction Building Committee:** Mrs. Wisler reported that the Committee has a meeting scheduled at 5:00 p.m. this Thursday in the Council Chambers to discuss the status of the expenditures.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

There was no unfinished business.

### NEW BUSINESS:

- **Resolution: Endorsement of Regional Animal Control Facility Feasibility Study**

A **MOTION** was offered by Gale Mastrofrancesco, seconded by Rachel Wisler, to **approve** the following resolution; Resolution of Endorsement; Regional Animal Control Facility Feasibility Study; The Town Council of the Town of Wolcott hereby endorses The Regional Performance Incentive Program proposal referenced in Section 5 of Public Act 11-61 (An Act Concerning Responsible Growth). Such proposal is attached to and made a part of this record (**see attached**).

Mayor Dunn explained that this is a part of regionalization that the State has been encouraging for municipalities. A brief question and answer period followed regarding specifics of the grant application. Mayor Dunn noted that Wolcott already has a dog pound and would probably be unaffected by a regional facility, however, the study will not cost the Town any money and he felt it would be good to leave the door open just in case a need developed.

Chairman Valletta called for a vote on the above motion which **carried** unanimously by voice vote.

# **WOLCOTT TOWN COUNCIL**

Regular Meeting

Tuesday, December 20, 2011

Council Chambers, Wolcott Town Hall

7:30 p.m.

Page 4 of 4

## **MINUTES**

---

### **ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA:**

- Wolcott Public Schools Update from Board of Education Chairperson & Superintendent of Schools
- Appointment of Town Council Majority & Minority Leaders
- Appointment of Council Members to Permanent Pension Committee by Town Council Majority & Minority Leaders
- Approval of Appointments to Permanent Pension Committee (as recommended by Town Committees and approved by Mayor & Town Council )

Chairman Valletta advised that if anyone has any additional items that they should contact himself or the Clerk.

Upon **MOTION** by Gale Mastrofrancesco, seconded by Rachel Wisler, it was unanimously voted to take a five minute recess at 7:52 p.m.

Chairman Valletta called the meeting back to order at 8:02 p.m.

### **TAXPAYERS' TIME:**

1. Mr. Santa Claus came forward and stated that the Town of Wolcott is his favorite place to fly over and proceeded to wish the Town of Wolcott a Merry Christmas.

### **ADJOURNMENT:**

Upon **MOTION**, by Charles Marsella, seconded by James Pape, it was unanimously voted to **adjourn** the meeting at 8:04 p.m.

### **APPROVED:**

---

Elizabeth Gaudiosi, Secretary  
**WOLCOTT TOWN COUNCIL**

---

David Valletta, Chairman  
**WOLCOTT TOWN COUNCIL**



# TOWN OF WOLCOTT

TOWN HALL • 10 Kenea Avenue  
Wolcott, Connecticut 06716  
Tel. (203) 879-8100 • Fax: (203) 879-8105

December 15, 2011

David Valletta, Chairman  
Wolcott Town Council  
10 Kenea Avenue  
Wolcott, CT 06716

**RE: TRANSFERS WITHIN/BETWEEN DEPARTMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2011/2012**

Dear Mr. Valletta:

In accordance with the provisions of the Wolcott Town Charter, I am hereby notifying you of the following transfers for Fiscal Year 2011/2012 contained in Schedule A (Fund 01) and Schedule A (Funds 35 and 36) which are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Linda R. Bruce,  
Municipal Finance Officer

LRB/jes  
Enclosure

**MAYOR'S ACTION:**

DATE: December 19, 2011

APPROVED BY: .....

Thomas G. Dunn, Mayor  
Town of Wolcott

**COUNCIL'S ACTION:**

DATE: December 20, 2011

APPROVED BY: .....

Chairman, Wolcott Town Council

# TOWN of WOLCOTT

## SCHEDULE A

TRANSFERS WITHIN / BETWEEN DEPARTMENTS - FUND 01  
2011-2012 FISCAL YEAR FOR REVIEW ON DECEMBER 20, 2011

	<u>ACCOUNT NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
TO	01-1-112-1-1120	WAGES-CLERICAL	3,568.00
	01-1-112-1-1125	WAGES - FLOATER	1,523.20
	01-1-112-1-1140	WAGES-OPERATIONS	1,523.20
	01-1-112-1-1143	WAGES - MAINTENANCE	1,835.60
	01-1-122-1-1120	WAGES-CLERICAL	1,525.00
	01-1-152-1-1120	WAGES-CLERICAL	1,910.00
	01-1-153-1-1120	WAGES-CLERICAL	768.00
	01-1-154-1-1120	WAGES-CLERICAL	1,587.00
	01-1-163-1-1120	WAGES-CLERICAL	1,616.00
	01-2-211-1-1120	SALARY / WAGES CLERICAL	3,613.00
	01-2-212-1-1140	WAGE-OPERATIONS	12,410.00
	01-2-213-1-1140	SALARY / WAGES OPERATIONS	<u>2,079.00</u>
		TOTAL	33,958.00
FROM	01-1-112-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	8,450.00
	01-1-122-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	1,525.00
	01-1-152-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	1,910.00
	01-1-153-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	768.00
	01-1-154-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	1,587.00
	01-1-163-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	1,616.00
	01-2-211-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	3,613.00
	01-2-212-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	12,410.00
	01-2-213-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	<u>2,079.00</u>
		TOTAL	33,958.00

Reason: To move budgeted increases to the respective wage accounts.



# TOWN of WOLCOTT

## SCHEDULE A

TRANSFERS WITHIN / BETWEEN DEPARTMENTS - FUNDS 35 & 36  
2011-2012 FISCAL YEAR FOR REVIEW ON DECEMBER 20, 2011

	<u>ACCOUNT NUMBER</u>		<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
TO	35-3-321-1-1120	DR	WAGES - CLERICAL	1,638.90
	35-3-321-1-1220	DR	PART - TIME BILLING CLERK	<u>761.10</u>
			TOTAL	2,400.00
FROM	35-3-321-8-8997	CR	OPERATING RESERVE	2,400.00

	<u>ACCOUNT NUMBER</u>		<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
TO	36-3-351-1-1120	DR	WAGES - CLERICAL	450.00
FROM	36-3-351-8-8997	CR	OPERATING RESERVE	450.00

Reason: To move budgeted increases to the respective wage accounts.

# TOWN of WOLCOTT REQUEST FOR TRANSFER

DATE: 12/14/2011

REQUESTOR: Linda R. Bruce

FUND 35

	<u>ACCOUNT NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
TO	35-3-321-1-1120	WAGES - CLERICAL	1,638.90
	35-3-321-1-1220	PART - TIME BILLING CLERK	<u>761.10</u>
		TOTAL	2,400.00
FROM	35-3-321-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	2,400.00

FUND 36

	<u>ACCOUNT NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
TO	36-3-351-1-1120	WAGES - CLERICAL	450.00
FROM	36-3-351-8-8997	OPERATING RESERVE	450.00

**REQUESTS FOR TRANSFERS MUST BE PRESENTED TO THE  
TOWN COUNCIL FOR REVIEW & APPROVAL - PLEASE ENSURE  
THAT ALL INFORMATION IS COMPLETE & ACCURATE**

Why the transfer is needed

To move budgeted increases to the respective wage accounts.

The effect on the "from" account(s):

No effect.

APPROVAL L. R. Bruce

FINANCE OFFICE USE

DATE: 12/15/2011

**Resolution of Endorsement**  
**Regional Animal Control Facility Feasibility Study**  
(To be completed by the Town Clerk)

The Town Council of the Town of Wolcott hereby endorses The Regional Performance Incentive Program proposal referenced in Section 5 of Public Act 11-61 (An Act Concerning Responsible Growth). Such proposal is attached to and made a part of this record.

The Town Council of the Town of Wolcott met on Tuesday, December 20, 2011, and adopted a resolution by the vote of 9 yes to 0 no which endorsed the **Regional Performance Incentive Program** proposal referenced in Section 5 of Public Act 11-61 (An Act Concerning Responsible Growth). Such proposal is attached to and made a part of this record.

Attested to by:

Name: Dolores C Slater  
Dolores C. Slater

Title: Town Clerk, Town of Wolcott  
Town Clerk, Town of Wolcott

Date: 12/21/2011

\*NOTE: For the purposes of the **Regional Performance Incentive Program**, "legislative body" means the board of selectmen, town council, city council, board of alderman, board of directors, board of representatives or board of the mayor and burgesses of a municipality.

# Regional Performance Incentive Program

Pursuant to Public Act 11-61, Section 5



Form RPI-2  
Rev. 10/2011

**Proposal for Joint Provision of Service(s) or Study to be filed with the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management**

## REVISED PROPOSAL

Submit to: Office of Policy and Management,  
450 Capitol Ave. MS #54 SLP  
Hartford, CT 06108-1379,  
Att: RPI Program

Attach additional pages if necessary; identify project and related proposal element at the top of page.

<b>Applicant Entity</b> (RPOs, Two or more Municipalities, and/or Economic Development Districts):	
Name	Council of Governments of the Central Naugatuck Valley
Address	60 North Main Street, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
City/State/Zip	Waterbury, CT 06702
<b>Contact Person(s):</b>	
Name	Peter Dorpalen
Title	Executive Director
Telephone	203 757-0535
Fax	203 756-7688
E-mail	pdorpalen@cogcnv.org
<b>Amount of Regional Performance Incentive Funding Requested: \$27,000</b>	
<b>Short Descriptive Title of Project:</b> <i>Regional Animal Control Facility Feasibility Study</i>	
<b>REQUIRED PROPOSAL ELEMENTS Items (1) through (15):</b>	
<b>(1.) Proposed Shared Service(s) or related Study:</b> Describe at least one service currently provided by a participating municipality or municipalities or study of the provision of such service, which is not currently provided on a regional basis, for which this proposal is being submitted (attach additional pages as necessary):	
<p>The towns of Beacon Falls, Middlebury, Naugatuck, Oxford, Prospect, and Southbury would like to establish a regional facility to reduce their municipal animal control costs. Wolcott would also like to participate, but would be caring for its animals at its refurbished shelter. The purpose of the study is to evaluate site and management options for providing a regional facility in sufficient detail to enable the participating towns to move forward with the study's preferred recommendation.</p>	

**(2.) Describe the need for such service (attach additional pages as necessary):**

Under Sec. 22-336 of CGS, Towns are required to provide pounds or other suitable facilities unless they are participating in a regional dog pound.

Some of the communities are facing a major capital expense of upgrading their existing animal control facility. Two towns do not have a shelter and rely on other towns for impounding animals. For all, there is the need to reduce the cost of animal control by pooling resources to create a facility that jointly costs significantly less than individual town facilities. A regional facility will also enable a higher level of care for the animals. With tightening municipal budgets, the towns would like to find a more economically feasible approach, while offering a better service.

**(3.) Describe the method of delivering such service on a regional basis and the organization responsible for delivering such regional service or study:**

The study will evaluate different alternatives for delivering animal control on a regional level including management of the regional facility and animal control services. Each town will retain its existing local animal control officer, but sheltering, medical treatment, and adoption will be through the regional facility. The study will be conducted by COGCNV with an advisory committee consisting of officials of the participating towns. The preliminary scope of work is as follows:

**Scope of Work**

1. Conduct survey of each municipality to determine each town's average and peak sheltering needs. Project future sheltering needs (based on past sheltering demand and likely future population growth)
2. Determine appropriate site, building, and parking requirements for a regional facility based on existing and projected needs. The analysis will also consider whether one or two sites would be the most effective.
3. Identify alternative options for a regional facility (or facilities), seeking location(s) convenient for participating municipalities
  - a. Existing shelter
  - b. Existing building
  - c. Available site
4. Analyze the advantages and disadvantages of the alternatives and estimate construction cost and operating and maintenance cost
5. Evaluate different management structures, their estimated yearly cost, and advantages and disadvantages. Possible options include: regional animal control board, host municipality management, private contractor under supervision of regional organization (COG, health department, municipality)
6. Select a preferred alternative for facility site and for management
7. Estimate capital and operating costs for the facility and its services
8. Execute necessary agreements between towns and management organization
9. Secure site for the facility
10. Develop preliminary architectural design for facility

**(4.) Describe the population that will be served:**

The six participating municipalities wishing to shelter animals at the regional facility have a total combined population of 87,478 in 2010. Including Wolcott, the population totals 104,158. The six towns averaged 38 sheltered animals per month in 2009-10, according to data from the State Animal Control Officer. The combined maximum monthly occupancy for the six of the seven towns was 53 animals. Wolcott averages another five animals, with a monthly maximum of eight.

**(5) Describe the manner in which regional service delivery will achieve economies of scale:**

The seven towns will achieve financial efficiencies through the sharing of a regional animal shelter. It can make the provision of animal care more affordable while offer features than an individual town could not afford to provide. In addition to a lower cost, the towns seek to offer a higher quality of care for impounded animals. A larger, central facility will also create a better opportunity for animal adoption. A larger operation can provide publicity on animals available for adoption, and having full time staffing for the shelter will give the public greater access to view dogs for adoption, increasing the rate of adoption. The regional shelter will enable the towns to focus their efforts on animal control rather than control and care.

**(6.) Provide the amount by which participating municipalities will reduce their mill rate as a result of the savings realized (Exclude grant funds from calculations.):**

Municipality	Savings	Mill Rate Reduction
Beacon Falls	\$88,354	0.19
Middlebury	\$97,189	0.09
Naugatuck	\$631,729	0.34
Oxford	\$194,378	0.17
Prospect	\$170,081	0.22
Southbury	\$267,270	0.11

**(7.) Provide a cost benefit analysis for the provision of the service by each participating municipality and by the entity submitting the proposal:**

See enclosed table:

Cost-Benefit Analysis for a Six-Town Regional Animal Control Facility

**(8.) Describe a plan of implementation for the delivery of the service on a regional basis (NOTE: The estimated time line and length of time to implement the proposal):**

**Present Phase: 12 - 15 months**

Conduct RFP process to select consultant (2 - 3 months)

Complete and execute study agreement (1 - 2 months)

Conduct study (6 months)

Select preferred alternative for site and organization (1 - 2 months)

**Future Phase: 28 - 32 months**

Secure construction and development funding

Execute necessary agreements between towns and management organization

Secure site (or sites)

Design facility

Obtain permits

Construction bid process

Construction

Staffing (including volunteers)

**(9.) Provide a list of potential legal obstacles to the regional provision of the service and how these obstacles will be resolved:**

An anticipated obstacle is finding a suitable site where a facility is permitted under existing zoning regulations. The site should preferably be located where there are public sewers, given the volume of waste generated by an animal shelter. These issues will be addressed by working with officials in the participating communities. Another obstacle will be state standards for animal control facilities, which greatly affect the cost. Regulations for dog pounds are contained in state statutes sections 22-336-13 to 22-336-30.

**(10.) Describe how the proposed service will be sustained once it is established and all grant funding has been expended:**

Funds to operate and maintain the facility will come from the general fund of each participating municipality. A payment formula will be created based on population, dogs sheltered, or other factor or combination of factors.

**(11.) Provide a list of other public or private funding potentially leveraged by the project proposed herein.**

Grantor	Amount of Funding	Purpose

**(12.) Percent of municipalities in the applicant organization participating in the proposed regional service project: animal control facility (6/13 or 46%, 7/13 or 54% with Wolcott)**

**(13.) Attach hereto a resolution by the legislative body of each municipality affected by the proposal, endorsing such proposal.**

**(14.) Attach the following material:**

1. A site location map of the project location, (*not* the region or EDD), if applicable: Not applicable since funding is for a feasibility study
2. A proposed Project Schedule (Outline the Proposed Project timeline) See Item 8 for schedule
3. Project cost estimates supporting the request for funding. See enclosed budget
4. A list of all necessary local/state/federal permits and approvals required for the project. Zoning and site plan approvals; state dog pound requirements

**(15.) Has a copy of the proposal been sent to legislators representing the participating municipalities? Yes  No**

**If YES, please attach copies of cover letters.**

**(16.) Certification by the CEO of the Applicant Organization(s):**

*I do hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.*

**Signature:**

**Name: Robert A. Mezzo**

**Title: Chairman**

**Date:**

*(Please use following certification if more than one RPO is participating.)*

**(16.) Certification by the CEO of the Applicant Organization(s):**

*I do hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.*

**Signature:**

**Name:**

**Title:**

**Date:**

**Estimated Budget**  
**Regional Animal Control Facility Feasibility Study**

***Study with One Regional Animal Control Facility***

	Hours	Hrly Rate	Cost
Staff			\$2,139
Executive Director	35.0	\$52.61	\$1,841
Admin Assistant	14.0	\$21.29	\$298
Direct Costs (travel, etc)	100.0	\$0.50	\$200
Indirect Costs			\$2,824
Total COGCNV Cost			\$5,163
Consultant Costs			\$10,000
Total			\$15,163

***Study with Two Regional Animal Control Facilities***

	Hours	Hrly Rate	Cost
Staff			\$2,929
Executive Director	49.0	\$52.61	\$2,578
Admin Assistant	16.5	\$21.29	\$351
Direct Costs (travel, etc)	100.0	\$0.50	\$200
Indirect Costs			\$3,867
Total COGCNV Cost			\$6,996
Consultant Costs			\$20,000
Total			\$26,996

12/8/2011

### Cost-Benefit Analysis for a Six-Town Regional Animal Control Facility

Municipality	Max Monthly Dogs		Estimated Kennels	Bldg Area (SF)	Const & Development Costs		Total Project Cost	Cost/ SF	Regional Facility
	Dogs	Estimated			Const	Cost/ SF			
Regional	53	45		12,375	\$3,712,500	\$300	\$4,455,000	\$360	\$1,449,000
Beacon Falls	2	2		1,000	\$300,000	\$300	\$360,000	\$360	\$88,354
Middlebury	5	4		1,100	\$330,000	\$300	\$396,000	\$360	\$97,189
Naugatuck	31	26		7,150	\$2,145,000	\$300	\$2,574,000	\$360	\$631,729
Oxford	9	8		2,200	\$660,000	\$300	\$792,000	\$360	\$194,378
Prospect	8	7		1,925	\$577,500	\$300	\$693,000	\$360	\$170,081
Southbury	13	11		3,025	\$907,500	\$300	\$1,089,000	\$360	\$267,270
Totals									
Independent	68	58		16,400	\$4,920,000	\$300	\$5,904,000	\$360	
Regional	53	45		12,375	\$3,712,500	\$300	\$4,455,000	\$360	\$1,449,000
Difference	-15	-13		-4,025	-\$1,207,500		-\$1,449,000		

**Assumptions:**

- 85% 275 20%
- Estimated kennel needs assumes 85% of monthly max of impounded animals from State animal control data
- Bldg Area: Assumes 275 square feet per animal based on information from other facilities.
- Beacon Falls bldg area adjusted to a reasonable minimum.
- Cost per square foot: \$300 per sq ft is based on CRCOG study and Stratford facility
- Total Project Cost includes added 20% for design and contingencies

**Six-Town Regional Animal Control Facility — Estimated Municipal Mill Rate Reduction**

<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Total Taxes Collected</b>	<b>Municipal Savings</b>	<b>Savings as Pct of Taxes</b>	<b>Existing Mill Rate</b>	<b>Reduced Mill Rate</b>	<b>Mill Rate Reduction</b>
Beacon Falls	\$ 12,190,644	\$ 88,354	0.7%	26.10	25.91	0.19
Middlebury	\$ 25,007,831	\$ 97,189	0.4%	23.79	23.70	0.09
Naugatuck	\$ 60,673,085	\$ 631,729	1.0%	32.81	32.47	0.34
Oxford	\$ 26,621,005	\$ 194,378	0.7%	23.21	23.04	0.17
Prospect	\$ 19,906,020	\$ 170,081	0.9%	25.20	24.98	0.22
Southbury	\$ 53,605,722	\$ 267,270	0.5%	21.40	21.29	0.11
Wolcott	\$ 30,187,366	Not Applic.	Not Applic.	22.68	Not Applic.	Not Applic.

Source: 2010 Tax and 2010 mill rate data from OPM website. Municipal savings from cost-benefit analysis

MONTHLY ANIMAL CONTROL STATISTICS  
2009-2010

12/8/2011

BEACON FALLS

Month	Complaints Investigated	Animals Impounded	Redeemed	Sold As Pet	D.O.A.	Euthanized	Animal Bites	Summons/Infractions
July	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	21	2	1	0	0	1	1	0
September	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	11	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
November	11	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
December	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
January	24	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
February	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
April	23	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
May	27	2	1	0	0	1	1	0
June	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Total	221	10	7	1	0	2	4	1

Average 1

Maximum 2

MIDDLEBURY

Month	Complaints Investigated	Animals Impounded	Redeemed	Sold As Pet	D.O.A.	Euthanized	Animal Bites	Summons/Infractions
July	39	5	4	1	0	0	1	0
August	29	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
September	34	2	1	1	0	0	4	0
October	34	3	0	3	0	0	1	0
November	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
December	16	4	2	2	0	0	0	0
January	22	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
February	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
March	29	2	1	0	0	1	0	0
April	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	33	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
June	40	5	3	2	0	0	1	0
Total	349	26	14	11	0	1	8	0

Average 2

Maximum 5

NAUGATUCK

Month	Complaints Investigated	Animals Impounded	Redeemed	Sold As Pet	D.O.A.	Euthanized	Animal Bites	Summons/ Infractions
July	45	27	21	4	1	1	6	0
August	60	31	14	11	2	4	2	2
September	230	10	5	2	0	3	2	2
October	225	22	10	7	5	0	1	1
November	154	17	10	1	6	0	0	0
December	100	19	8	6	4	1	0	0
January	159	8	1	2	4	1	1	0
February	170	14	8	1	2	3	2	1
March	244	22	13	5	3	1	2	1
April	246	19	9	5	2	3	6	0
May	273	27	20	3	3	1	5	0
June	292	30	16	3	5	6	6	1
Total	2198	246	135	50	37	24	33	8

Average 21  
 Maximum 31

OXFORD

Month	Complaints Investigated	Animals Impounded	Redeemed	Sold As Pet	D.O.A.	Euthanized	Animal Bites	Summons/ Infractions
July	53	4	2	1	1	0	0	3
August	47	7	0	7	0	0	0	0
September	39	6	0	6	0	0	1	0
October	34	6	2	4	0	0	0	0
November	27	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
December	23	3	0	3	0	0	0	2
January	27	5	1	4	0	0	0	3
February	34	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
March	38	4	0	4	0	0	0	3
April	36	5	2	3	0	0	0	1
May	42	8	0	3	0	5	0	0
June	44	9	2	6	0	1	0	0
Total	444	60	10	42	2	6	1	13

Average 5  
 Maximum 9

## PROSPECT

## Data from Town

Month	Complaints Investigated	Animals Impounded	Redeemed	Sold As Pet	D.O.A.	Euthanized	Animal Bites	Summons/ Infractions
July	4	3	1	2	0	0	0	0
August	12	8	5	0	0	2	0	1
September	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
October	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0
November	5	3	3	0	0	0	1	0
December	3	2	2	0	0	0	1	0
January	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
February	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
March	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
April	7	3	1	1	0	1	1	3
May	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
June	3	3	3	0	0	0	1	0
Total								

Average 2

Maximum 8

## SOUTHBURY

Month	Complaints Investigated	Animals Impounded	Redeemed	Sold As Pet	D.O.A.	Euthanized	Animal Bites	Summons/ Infractions
July	84	9	9	0	0	0	5	1
August	78	2	2	0	0	0	5	1
September	99	6	3	2	1	0	2	1
October	81	13	8	5	0	0	1	1
November	104	7	2	5	0	0	6	2
December	75	5	3	1	1	0	2	2
January	59	2	0	2	0	0	2	1
February	116	5	4	1	0	0	0	1
March	137	11	7	3	0	1	2	0
April	129	8	4	4	0	0	0	2
May	126	12	11	1	0	0	4	1
June	144	4	2	2	0	0	1	0
Total	1232	84	55	26	2	1	30	13

Average 7

Maximum 13

WOLCOTT

Month	Complaints Investigated	Animals Impounded	Redeemed	Sold As Pet	D.O.A.	Euthanized	Animal Bites	Summons/Infractions
July	114	3	0	3	0	0	4	0
August	114	9	4	5	0	0	0	2
September	81	7	4	1	0	2	4	1
October	74	3	0	0	0	3	1	0
November	91	8	5	3	0	0	1	1
December	93	5	3	1	0	1	2	3
January	76	3	2	0	0	1	0	0
February	114	5	4	1	0	0	1	1
March	98	6	5	1	0	0	4	0
April	91	5	1	2	0	2	2	0
May	115	7	5	2	0	0	1	0
June	96	2	1	1	0	0	1	0
Total	1157	63	34	20	0	9	21	8

Average 5

Maximum 9

Source: State Animal Control Division of Dept. of Agriculture; Town of Prospect; COGCNV calculations

Estimated Operating Costs for Municipal Animal Control: 2011-12

	Beacon Falls	Middlebury	Nantucket	Oxford	Prospect	Southbury	Wolcott	Total/Avg	All Except Wolcott
Operating Personnel	\$4,501	\$20,796	\$69,372	\$51,414	\$15,000	\$57,619	\$49,000	\$267,702	\$218,702
Other	\$3,335	\$4,700	\$24,400	\$19,320	\$11,000	\$8,370	\$29,792	\$100,917	\$71,125
Total	\$7,836	\$25,496	\$93,772	\$70,734	\$26,000	\$65,989	\$78,792	\$368,619	\$289,827
2010 Population	6,049	7,575	31,862	12,683	9,405	19,904	16,680	104,158	87,478
Per Capita Cost	\$1.30	\$3.37	\$2.94	\$5.58	\$2.76	\$3.32	\$4.72	\$3.54	\$3.31
Personnel	\$0.74	\$2.75	\$2.18	\$4.05	\$1.59	\$2.89	\$2.94		
Other	\$0.55	\$0.62	\$0.77	\$1.52	\$1.17	\$0.42	\$1.79		
Average Per Capita Cost									
							All Towns	\$3.54	\$3.31
							Towns with Shelter	\$3.77	\$3.55

Source: Municipal budgets - animal control line items; COGCVN calculations

7-Dec-2011